

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 9186 OF 2005

Desai Pravin Vijay

..Petitioner

Versus

Bank Karmachari Sangh and Ors.

... Respondents.

Mr. A.S. Rao for the petitioner.

Mr. P.M. Huddar for respondent No.2.

Mr. A.D. Patwardhan for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 9191 OF 2005

Bhide Shriram Jagannath

... Petitioner

Versus

Yenpure A.K. and Anr.

... Respondents

Mr. P.M. Huddar for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Bapat for respondent No.1.

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 277 OF 2006.

**CORAM: V.G. PALSHIKAR, Ag. C.J., &
SMT. NISHITA MHATRE, J.**

DATE: JANUARY 17, 2006.

P.C.

By these petitions, the petitioners challenge the order passed by the Industrial Court deciding the application under Section 28 (1-A) of the Trade Unions Act.

2. The dispute arose before the Industrial Court on the application made by the Petitioners that office-bearers who claimed to be so are not the properly elected office-bearers. By the time the matter came up for decision, period of three years for which that body was elected was over and, therefore, the Industrial Court directed that elections already being due should be held immediately. By the very order, one Mr. S.T. Mhaske is appointed as Administrator. He would hold elections in accordance with the Rules and Regulations. This be done as far as possible within two months. In such circumstances, we need not go into the question of technical extent of jurisdiction as broader justice has been done to the grievance between the parties. Hence no interference. Both the petitions are accordingly disposed of. Civil Application for intervention is also disposed of.